

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM SD**

**Specialized Disclosure Report**

**AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**1-7685**  
(Commission  
File Number)

**95-1492269**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**207 Goode Avenue, Glendale, California**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**91203**  
(Zip code)

**Vikas Arora, (626) 304-2000**  
(Name and telephone number, including area code, of the  
person to contact in connection with this report.)

Check the appropriate box to indicate the rule pursuant to which this form is being filed, and provide the period to which the information in this form applies:

Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2016.

---

---

## **Section 1 — Conflict Minerals Disclosure**

### **Item 1.01 Conflict Minerals Disclosure and Report**

Avery Dennison Corporation (the “Company”) is filing this Specialized Disclosure Report (Form SD), including the Conflict Minerals Report attached as Exhibit 1.01 hereto, for the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 to comply with Rule 13p-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Both reports have been posted and are publicly available on the Company’s website at [www.averydennison.com/en/home/sustainability/our-sustainability-commitment/reports-downloads.html](http://www.averydennison.com/en/home/sustainability/our-sustainability-commitment/reports-downloads.html). Information on the Company’s website is not and should not be considered part of, nor is it incorporated by reference into, this Form SD.

### **Item 1.02 Exhibit**

The Company’s Conflict Minerals Report for calendar year 2016 is filed as Exhibit 1.01 of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

## **Section 2 — Exhibits**

<b><u>Exhibit No.</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
1.01	Conflict Minerals Report of Avery Dennison Corporation, as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this Form.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the duly authorized undersigned.

### AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION

Date: May 26, 2017

By: /s/ Susan C. Miller  
Name: Susan C. Miller  
Title: Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

**Conflict Minerals Report of Avery Dennison Corporation****Overview**

This Conflict Minerals Report of Avery Dennison Corporation (the “Company,” “we,” or “us”) for calendar year 2016 was prepared in accordance with Section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Section 1502”) and Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Rule 13p-1”), which require that public companies perform certain procedures and disclose certain information about their use and the origin of “conflict minerals” deemed necessary to the functionality or production of a product they manufacture or contract to be manufactured and which were in their supply chain after January 31, 2013 (referred to in this report as “necessary conflict minerals”). Conflict minerals are defined as columbite-tantalite, cassiterite, gold, wolframite, or their derivatives, which include tantalum, tin and tungsten.

Our businesses include the production of pressure-sensitive materials and a variety of tickets, tags, labels and other converted products. Some pressure-sensitive materials are sold to label printers and converters that “convert” the materials into labels and other products through embossing, printing, stamping and die-cutting. Some materials are sold by us in converted form as tapes and reflective sheeting. We also manufacture and sell a variety of other converted products and items not involving pressure-sensitive components, such as fasteners, tickets, tags, radio-frequency identification inlays and tags, and imprinting equipment and related services, which we market to retailers, apparel manufacturers and brand owners.

**Forward-looking Statements**

This Conflict Minerals Report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, which are not statements of historical fact, contain estimates, assumptions, and/or expectations regarding future events, which may or may not occur. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual events to differ materially from the expectations expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made only as of the date hereof and we assume no duty to update them to reflect new, changed or unanticipated events or circumstances, other than as may be required by law.

**Assessment and Reasonable Country of Origin Inquiry**

As a result of our assessment and in consultation with our external advisors, we concluded in good faith that during 2016 conflict minerals were necessary to the functionality or production of certain products we manufacture or contract to manufacture, the components for which we source from a global supply base.

In accordance with Section 1502 and Rule 13p-1, we performed a “reasonable country of origin inquiry” (RCOI) on necessary conflict minerals to determine whether they were sourced from the Democratic Republic of Congo or adjoining countries (“the Covered Countries”) or come from recycled or scrap sources. We engaged Resources Global Professionals, an independent global professional services firm, to provide us advice and assistance with strategy development, due diligence methodology and reporting.

**Due Diligence and Next Steps**

In accordance with Rule 13p-1, for the 2016 reporting year, we performed due diligence procedures from February 20, 2017 through May 12, 2017 to determine the source and chain of custody of necessary conflict minerals in our products. We designed our due diligence procedures to conform in all material respects with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas Third Edition (OECD 2016) (“OECD Guidance”) and related supplements thereto. This process included surveying all direct material suppliers believed to have provided components containing conflict minerals.

We occupy a “downstream” position in the supply chain and followed the principles outlined in the OECD Guidance for companies with no direct relationships to smelters or refiners. A summary of our due diligence activities — and, where applicable, next steps to mitigate conflict minerals risk and improve our due diligence procedures — consistent with the OECD Guidance is set forth below.

*Step 1: Establish strong company management systems*

- We maintain a policy that reflects our goal of refraining from purchasing components containing conflict minerals from the Covered Countries, unless those materials are certified by an independent third party to originate from conflict-free sources.
- We leverage our existing governance model to oversee the implementation and ongoing management of our conflict minerals compliance program, which includes a steering committee performing oversight and ensuring regulatory compliance and a core team responsible for product assessment and the RCOI process. The steering committee engages with senior leadership from our business units and functions to maintain a governance structure to enable sustainable compliance and satisfy regulatory requirements.
- We maintain processes to evaluate parts and suppliers in the supply chain for potential conflict minerals risk. Our global supplier standards and restricted substances lists have been updated to require suppliers to provide information on their use and sourcing of conflict minerals. We may engage with industry associations to help enhance transparency and traceability in the supply chain. We use a standardized documentation format to capture key program decisions, processes, and procedures and we maintain conflict minerals records for ten years under our document retention policy.
- We advised suppliers of our conflict minerals policy and provided at-risk suppliers with additional information to facilitate their understanding and ensure our compliance with Section 1502 and Rule 13p-1.
- We maintain a telephone and web-based hotline available at all hours for employees or third parties to report compliance concerns to our company, anonymously if they so choose. This hotline is operated by an independent third party and accepts reports in several languages to accommodate our global workforce and customer/supplier base. Parties may use this system to provide information or voice their concerns regarding our sourcing and use of conflict minerals.

*Step 2: Identify and assess risks in the supply chain*

- We analyzed components of products for necessary conflict minerals and assessed the risks they contain conflict minerals from the Covered Countries.
- We required identified suppliers to complete a survey based on the Conflict-Free Sourcing Initiative’s (“CFSI”) Conflict Minerals Reporting Template.
- We reviewed the responses received for completeness and followed up with suppliers that did not return a completed survey. We reviewed survey responses and validated them for completeness and sufficiency. Based on this review, each survey was assigned a conflict minerals status code. Specific supplier corrective actions will depend on factors such as supplier size, risk level and supplier capabilities.
- We reviewed aggregate supplier survey responses and reported key metrics during our regular conflict minerals core team meetings.
- We conducted a review of summary smelter information received to determine if the smelter has been certified as conflict free or presents a “red flag” as defined by the OECD Guidance. To make the determination of each smelter’s conflict status, we relied upon information provided by CFSI. CFSI conducts a Conflict Free Smelter Program under which it certifies smelters and refiners worldwide as being conflict free after confirming specific information, including country of origin, for conflict minerals that the smelter/refiner may purchase for its operations. CFSI makes available to the public the list of smelters/refiners that it has certified as conflict free.

*Step 3: Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks*

- We are continuing to refine our gap analysis and intend to provide a summary of the identified risks and gaps — as well as recommended action plans to mitigate risks and close gaps — to the steering committee.
- With oversight from our Board of Directors, management is responsible for the day-to-day management of risks confronting our businesses, including enterprise risk management. In addition, we have robust global processes that support a strong internal control environment to promote the early identification and continued management of risks by our company's leadership. In this context, we routinely refine our risk mitigation strategy with the goal of systematically reducing the extent of exposure to significant identified risks and minimizing the likelihood of their occurrence. We manage risks associated with conflict minerals consistent with these existing processes.
- We are continuing to refine our conflict minerals risk management plan as we continue our due diligence procedures and obtain additional information regarding our conflict minerals risk exposure.
- Additional fact finding, risk assessments, and changes in circumstances are assessed during our annual review of our conflict minerals compliance program.

*Step 4: Carry out independent third-party audit of smelter/refiner's due diligence practices*

- In accordance with the OECD Guidance, we have relationships with industry organizations to discuss developments in conflict minerals compliance, including third party audits of smelter/refiner due diligence practices.

*Step 5: Report annually on supply chain due diligence*

- We have implemented a process annually to summarize, review, and approve conflict minerals compliance results, complete the Form Specialized Disclosure (Form SD) and Conflict Minerals Report required by Rule 13p-1, and timely file them with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**RCOI Results for 2016**

Based on the process described above, we had the following results from our initial assessment and RCOI process for the 2016 calendar year:

- Based on our current assessment, approximately 445 components used in our Retail Branding and Information Solutions and Industrial and Healthcare Materials reportable segments contain conflict minerals. These components are used in products that represented less than 1% of our consolidated revenues for fiscal year 2016. No components used in our Label and Graphic Materials reportable segment, which represented 69% of our net sales in fiscal year 2016, have been found to contain conflict minerals.
- Suppliers providing components containing necessary conflict minerals to our impacted business units were included in the RCOI process. Completed responses were received from approximately 69% of the 48 surveyed suppliers.
- Based on the supplier representations contained in the survey responses received through May 12, 2017, a subset of components provided to us have been determined to contain conflict minerals originating from the Covered Countries; however, these components originated from smelters/refiners that have been certified as conflict free. We have not yet been able to determine the source of the minerals or the facilities used to process the remaining components.

The due diligence procedures described above, including our RCOI process, resulted in the following assertions for calendar year 2016:

- We have been unable to determine and describe the facilities used to process our necessary conflict minerals.
- Suppliers responding to our inquiries indicated in their responses that the information provided was at a company or divisional level and did not include a list of smelters. Therefore, we have been unable to determine whether our necessary conflict minerals were sourced from the Covered Countries or come from recycled or scrap sources.
- Consistent with the OECD Guidance for downstream companies such as us, our efforts to determine the mine or location of origin of our necessary conflict minerals encompassed the due diligence procedures described above and have not been conclusive.