W0. Introduction

W0.1

(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

Avery Dennison Corporation is a global materials science and digital identification solutions company that provides branding and information labeling solutions, including pressure-sensitive materials, radio-frequency identification (RFID) inlays and tags, and a variety of converted products and solutions. The company designs and manufactures a wide range of labeling and functional materials that enhance branded packaging, carry or display information that connects the physical and the digital, and improve customers’ product performance. The company serves an array of industries worldwide, including home and personal care, apparel, e-commerce, logistics, food and grocery, pharmaceuticals and automotive.

In 2022, we changed our operating structure to align with our overall business strategy. The information disclosed in this response is reported based on our new reportable segments.

These changes resulted in a new segment, Materials Group, comprising our former Label and Graphic Materials (LGM) segment and Industrial and Healthcare Materials (IHM) segment. Additionally, our former Retail Branding and Information Solutions (RBIS) segment was renamed as Solutions Group.

We operate in more than 50 countries worldwide with approximately 200 manufacturing and distribution facilities and 36,000 employees. In 2022, our global net sales were $9.0 billion, and our Materials Group and Solutions Group reportable segments made up approximately 72% and 28%, respectively, of our total net sales. Further information about Avery Dennison, our business, and our organizational structure can be found at www.averydennison.com.

To the extent possible, Avery Dennison has aligned our CDP responses with our practices and procedures. Due to the nature of the CDP Questionnaires, such as the drop-down options provided, there may be some variability between actual and reported practices and procedures. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied thereby.

W0.2

(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting year</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>End date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>January 1 2022</td>
<td>December 31 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W0.3
(W0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.
Argentina
Australia
Austria
Bangladesh
Belgium
Brazil
Cambodia
Canada
China
Colombia
Croatia
Czechia
Denmark
Dominican Republic
El Salvador
Finland
France
Germany
Honduras
Hong Kong SAR, China
India
Indonesia
Ireland
Israel
Italy
Japan
Luxembourg
Malaysia
Mauritius
Mexico
Netherlands
New Zealand
Norway
Pakistan
Philippines
Poland
Republic of Korea
Romania
Singapore
South Africa
Spain
Sri Lanka
Sweden
Switzerland
Taiwan, China
Thailand
Turkey
Ukraine
United Arab Emirates
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America
Viet Nam

W0.4

(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.
USD

W0.5

(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.
Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?
No
(W0.7) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization.</th>
<th>Provide your unique identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, a Ticker symbol</td>
<td>AVY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**W1. Current state**

**W1.1**

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use</th>
<th>Direct use importance rating</th>
<th>Indirect use importance rating</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Water Usage</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Vital</td>
<td>Direct Use: As members of the paper value chain, we use significant quantities of water, but we are not involved in the paper production process and most of the water footprint of our products is associated with our supply chain. Our manufacturing processes primarily produce pressure-sensitive materials and a variety of tickets, tags, labels, and other converted products and use limited quantities of water. Water is not used directly as part of our manufacturing processes. It is primarily used for cleaning, chillers and cooling towers at manufacturing sites, and general use/personal hygiene at all other locations. At two of our facilities, accounting for approximately 8% of our total water withdrawals, we produce materials via emulsion. Direct Importance Rating: Avery Dennison does not use significant volumes of freshwater in production, but understands the importance of water quality discharges and therefore, treats all process water on-site or at appropriate third-party sites. As a result, we consider the importance of water to our direct operations as neutral. Indirect Use: Water is used throughout the paper and pulp production process. Pulp is mixed with water, as well as other additives, to create a slurry that is then dried and pressed to produce paper. Indirect Importance Rating: Paper production requires a considerable amount of water. Without a regular and sufficient supply of water, there would be limited or costly manufacturing of paper and so water is considered vital to our suppliers. Water availability may impact paper commodity costs in the future. Therefore, we consider the importance of water to our indirect operations to be vital. We expect that, in the future, our dependency on water across direct and indirect use will be substantially similar since water has yet to be a major focus area, given our relatively low use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use</td>
<td>Not very important</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Direct Use: Avery Dennison does not use recycled, brackish or produced water. Given that many of our manufacturing operations do not need high-quality water, recycled or produced water may be more frequently used in the future. Direct Importance Rating: This water source is not applicable to our current operations. However, we are committed to reducing our water usage in areas identified as having high water stress and risk by the WRI Aqueduct tool and may explore using different water sources such as recycled water in the future to mitigate these stresses. Therefore, we consider sufficient amounts of recycled water not to be very important at this time. Indirect Use: Our paper suppliers may use recycled water as part of their processes as treatment technologies allow for quality control. Indirect Importance Rating: We are in the process of engaging our Materials Group suppliers, through EcoVadis, to determine how our suppliers are using recycled, brackish, and/or produced water to inform this impact. Therefore, we consider sufficient amounts of recycled water to be neutral to our indirect operations at this time. This rating could change as we gain a better understanding of the role that recycled, brackish and/or produced water plays in our supply chain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**W1.2**
(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of sites/facilities/operations</th>
<th>Frequency of measurement</th>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawals – total volumes</td>
<td>51-75</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Data from utility bills and/or meter readings is uploaded to our internal ASPIRE environmental management system by EHS team members. Monthly water withdrawal is tracked at our manufacturing facilities, distribution centers, research and development sites, fast response units, and large offices representing 70% of Avery Dennison facilities and the most material sites from a water usage perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawals – volumes by source</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>As we continue to build our internal ASPIRE environmental management system, we hope to develop the ability to reliably collect, calculate, and report on this data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrained water associated with your metals &amp; mining and/or coal sector activities - total volumes [only metals and mining and coal sectors]</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced water associated with your oil &amp; gas sector activities - total volumes [only oil and gas sector]</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawals quality</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We do not currently collect this information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharges – total volumes</td>
<td>26-50</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Data is either tracked directly by measurements or estimated. Roughly 30% of our facilities track industrial discharge volumes, primarily facilities with manufacturing processes. Other sites estimate volumes (domestic discharges).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharges – volumes by destination</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We do not currently collect this information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharges – volumes by treatment method</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We understand the importance of water quality discharges and therefore, treat all process water on-site or through appropriate third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We do not currently collect this information except in geographies where we are required by law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Water discharge quality is tracked at our key apparel sites and those required by wastewater discharge permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water discharge quality – temperature</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We do not currently collect this information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water consumption – total volume</td>
<td>51-75</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Data is calculated as withdrawals minus discharges. We do not currently directly collect this data, which is why we estimate consumption using the method described.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water recycled/reused</td>
<td>Not monitored</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We do not use recycled/reused water in direct operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The provision of fully-functioning, safety managed WASH services to all workers</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>To ensure compliance with our standards and local regulations of our business, we conduct environmental, health and safety (EHS) compliance audits at each manufacturing site, including drinking and potable water compliance. Audits are conducted by a team of employees assisted by third-party consultants who speak the local language and provide expertise on local regulations. Across all sites, we provide fully functioning WASH services for all of our employees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W1.2b

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (megalliters/year)</th>
<th>Comparison with previous reporting year</th>
<th>Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year</th>
<th>Five-year forecast</th>
<th>Primary reason for forecast</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total withdrawals</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>In 2022, we continued requiring sites to directly track and report withdrawals. Additionally, a few new sites were added to our portfolio. This includes all manufacturing sites but does not include Vestcom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total discharges</td>
<td>This is our first year of measurement</td>
<td>Other, please specify (no comparison since this is our first year reporting)</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>This is our first year reporting total discharges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consumption</td>
<td>This is our first year of measurement</td>
<td>Other, please specify (no comparison since this is our first year reporting)</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>This is our first year reporting total consumption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W1.2d
(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the proportion, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Withdrawals are from areas with water stress</th>
<th>% withdrawn from areas with water stress</th>
<th>Comparison with previous reporting year</th>
<th>Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year</th>
<th>Five-year forecast</th>
<th>Primary reason for forecast</th>
<th>Identification tool</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26-50</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>About the same</td>
<td>Increase/decrease in efficiency</td>
<td>WRI Aqueduct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W1.3) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Total water withdrawal volume (megaliters)</th>
<th>Total water withdrawal efficiency</th>
<th>Anticipated forward trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1 9039300 000</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>5779603.58056266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We expect that our relative efficiency will remain about the same or improve as we more closely monitor water usage in areas of water stress and drive performance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W1.4) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products contain hazardous substances</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1 Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W1.5) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suppliers</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>Primary reason for no engagement</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other value chain partners (e.g., customers)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W1.5a) Do you assess your suppliers according to their impact on water security?

Row 1

Assessment of supplier impact
No, we do not assess the impact of our suppliers and have no plans to do so within the next two years

Considered in assessment
<Not Applicable>

Number of suppliers identified as having a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

% of total suppliers identified as having a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
While we do not assess suppliers on their water security impact, our Materials Group uses EcoVadis, which contains questions regarding water use & consumption. High-performing suppliers are prioritized when determining supplier risk. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.
Under Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsourcing suppliers must meet legal water requirements and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. They must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines and/or applicable laws and they must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. Outsourcing suppliers without these are given a Corrective Action Report (CAR). If the CAR is not completed, we seek another supplier. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who meet the specified criteria and do not need a CAR.
**W1.5b**

(W1.5b) Do your suppliers have to meet water-related requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suppliers have to meet specific water-related requirements</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, and we do not plan to introduce water-related requirements within the next two years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**W1.5d**
(W1.5d) Provide details of any other water-related supplier engagement activity.

Details of engagement
Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

% of suppliers by number
1-25

% of suppliers with a substantive impact
<Not Applicable>

Rationale for your engagement
For our two business segments (Materials Group and Solutions Group), we have different programs for engaging with suppliers.

Materials Group: We require our top suppliers, representing more than 80% of direct supplier spend, to take the annual EcoVadis survey. We focus on our largest suppliers by purchase order amounts to give us the most influence on impacting our supply chain.

Solutions Group: For our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, audits are conducted for all outsource suppliers to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and to ensure suppliers reporting environmental certificates maintain those standards. All outsource suppliers are selected for reporting because it allows us to understand the full impact of our supply chain. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: Under our Materials Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not have those items, they are given a deadline to submit a Corrective Action Report (CAR). If the CAR is not completed, our Solutions Group will seek another source of material. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who meet all of the specified criteria and do not need to submit a CAR.

Solutions Group: For our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: Under our Materials Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: For our Materials Group, using EcoVadis, we require suppliers to achieve a minimum score of 45; if they fail to meet this level, we initiate our corrective action process for continuous improvement. The EcoVadis survey contains questions regarding water use and consumption, and this data is used when determining future business, the health of our supply chain, and risks and opportunities. Platinum (overall score between 75 and 100) and Gold (67 and 74) scores are high-performing suppliers, and are prioritized when determining supplier risk, relationship status, future business opportunities, etc. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.

Solutions Group: Under our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, audits are conducted for all outsource suppliers to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and to ensure suppliers reporting environmental certificates maintain those standards. All outsource suppliers are selected for reporting because it allows us to understand the full impact of our supply chain. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: For our Materials Group, using EcoVadis, we require suppliers to achieve a minimum score of 45; if they fail to meet this level, we initiate our corrective action process for continuous improvement. The EcoVadis survey contains questions regarding water use and consumption, and this data is used when determining future business, the health of our supply chain, and risks and opportunities. Platinum (overall score between 75 and 100) and Gold (67 and 74) scores are high-performing suppliers, and are prioritized when determining supplier risk, relationship status, future business opportunities, etc. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.

Solutions Group: Under our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: For our Materials Group, using EcoVadis, we require suppliers to achieve a minimum score of 45; if they fail to meet this level, we initiate our corrective action process for continuous improvement. The EcoVadis survey contains questions regarding water use and consumption, and this data is used when determining future business, the health of our supply chain, and risks and opportunities. Platinum (overall score between 75 and 100) and Gold (67 and 74) scores are high-performing suppliers, and are prioritized when determining supplier risk, relationship status, future business opportunities, etc. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.

Solutions Group: Under our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: For our Materials Group, using EcoVadis, we require suppliers to achieve a minimum score of 45; if they fail to meet this level, we initiate our corrective action process for continuous improvement. The EcoVadis survey contains questions regarding water use and consumption, and this data is used when determining future business, the health of our supply chain, and risks and opportunities. Platinum (overall score between 75 and 100) and Gold (67 and 74) scores are high-performing suppliers, and are prioritized when determining supplier risk, relationship status, future business opportunities, etc. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.

Solutions Group: Under our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success
Materials Group: For our Materials Group, using EcoVadis, we require suppliers to achieve a minimum score of 45; if they fail to meet this level, we initiate our corrective action process for continuous improvement. The EcoVadis survey contains questions regarding water use and consumption, and this data is used when determining future business, the health of our supply chain, and risks and opportunities. Platinum (overall score between 75 and 100) and Gold (67 and 74) scores are high-performing suppliers, and are prioritized when determining supplier risk, relationship status, future business opportunities, etc. Success of this engagement is measured by the number of suppliers who have overall Gold or Platinum scores.

Solutions Group: Under our Solutions Group’s third-party auditing program, ICAP, all outsource suppliers must meet legal water requirements in the countries in which they operate and conduct environmental impact risk assessments annually. Also, they must ensure wastewater discharge meets water quality guidelines of sustainable water group and/or applicable laws, whichever are more stringent. The supplier must have a process flow diagram showing all areas of water usage and discharge points. If outsource suppliers do not take the survey, are not audited under the ICAP program or do not follow up on the corrective action plan from the ICAP audit, then our procurement team will begin the process of identifying alternative sources.
(W1.5e) Provide details of any water-related engagement activity with customers or other value chain partners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of stakeholder</th>
<th>Customers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of engagement</td>
<td>Innovation &amp; collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of engagement</td>
<td>Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce water impacts in products and services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale for your engagement**

We value our customer relationships as a fundamental factor in our financial, reputational, and environmental performance. To keep up with consumer-driven demands, we offer our Sustainable ADvantage™ product portfolio. Each product included in this portfolio has measured improvement in environmental impact. Improvements can be quantified using a product life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology providing greater transparency of the materials’ impact—and greater confidence in customer decision-making. By quantifying the impact of functional labelling and packaging made from fewer and more sustainable materials, we spark innovation and promote more meaningful decision-making. Every LCA provides environmental impact data across six categories, including water use. Customers are also included in our materiality assessments; their input helps us understand what we’re doing well and identify opportunities for improvement. We are proactively working with customers to expand this program and are soliciting insights around customers’ water goals through sustainability team meetings with a focus on strategically aligning our goals with our customers’ long-term water goals. Also, 10 of our Solutions Group sites are required to test incoming and outgoing water in response to customer requests.

**Impact of the engagement and measures of success**

Avery Dennison recognizes that water management is an important aspect of meeting our customers’ requirements. While our sites do not utilize material amounts of water, we need to engage further with suppliers to better understand their impact. Through this approach, we view success as further expanding the number of customers we collaborate with to reduce water use. We have established a goal to deliver a 15% increase in water efficiency at our sites that are located in high or extremely high risk countries as identified in the WRI Aqueduct Tool by 2030. Progress towards this goal will support our customers’ efforts to reduce water use across the value chain.

---

**W2. Business impacts**

**W2.1**

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?

No

**W2.2**

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water-related regulatory violations</th>
<th>Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**W3. Procedures**

**W3.1**

(W3.1) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification and classification of potential water pollutants</th>
<th>How potential water pollutants are identified and classified</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>At this time, Avery Dennison has not established a process to identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with our activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health, beyond what we have in our RSLs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**W3.3**

(W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?

Yes, water-related risks are assessed

---

**W3.3a**
(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

Value chain stage
Direct operations
Supply chain

Coverage
Full

Risk assessment procedure
Water risks are assessed in an environmental risk assessment

Frequency of assessment
Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?
1 to 3 years

Type of tools and methods used
Tools on the market

Tools and methods used
EcoVadis
WRI Aqueduct

Contextual issues considered
Water availability at a basin/catchment level
Water quality at a basin/catchment level
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level
Water regulatory frameworks
Status of ecosystems and habitats

Stakeholders considered
Employees
Local communities
NGOs
Regulators
Water utilities at a local level

Comment
In 2010, we began tracking our water consumption. Annually, we use the WRI Aqueduct tool to assess water risks such as water quantity-related physical risks, water quality-related physical risks, and regulatory and reputational risks at each of our sites. We overlay the WRI Aqueduct assessment results with water withdrawal data for tracked sites to prioritize our approach to managing water risk. At year-end 2022, 86 of our 286 operational sites were located in areas of high or extremely high overall water risk. We also use the WRI Aqueduct tool to assess future state water risk for our operational sites. Based on a business-as-usual scenario, 113 of our 286 sites will be located in areas of high or extremely high water risk by 2030.

To understand water risks in our value chain, we evaluate our supplier relationships through our Sustainable Procurement Program. We partner with EcoVadis to review suppliers representing more than 80% of our Materials Group’s direct spend. EcoVadis assesses each respondent’s environmental practices, including reporting on water consumption and measures to reduce water consumption. In addition, we work with Climate Earth to estimate water usage within our supply chain by using economic input and output models.
### W4. Risks and opportunities

#### W4.1

**W4.1a** How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Avery Dennison uses our definition of substantive change as a proxy for a definition of substantive financial or strategic impact. Therefore, we define substantive financial or strategic impact as a change that impacts revenue, stakeholders and costs related to the availability of purchased goods.

Impacts are classified as risks and categorized as low, medium, or high based on likelihood and using annual net income thresholds as a quantifiable indicator. We use the following annual thresholds: low risk is under $10 million, medium risk is $10 million to $40 million, and high risk is above $40 million, in each case of net income.

Through our risk identification process, Avery Dennison evaluates water risks as standalone risks and also as part of broader risks, such as economic stability. We consider the risks associated with water and sustainability as having a substantive impact.
(W4.2b) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary reason</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risks exist, but no substantive impact anticipated</td>
<td>While we recognize that we are exposed to water-related risks, mitigation strategies and our overall low usage suggest that these risks are likely to have little impact on the overall direct operations or health of our business. 23 of our 286 sites fall in the extremely high risk category based on the WRI Aqueduct assessment, which represents 8.0% of our sites, a decrease from the previous year. For sites deemed “at risk” through the sensitivity of the geography in which these facilities are located, we evaluate the impact our direct operations may have on the water basins to those areas, as well as the risks utilizing water resources in these regions may have on our business and take appropriate action as necessary. We also use the WRI Aqueduct tool to characterize the future state water risk for our global facilities to stay abreast of any emerging risks. Based on a business-as-usual scenario in a world with stable economic development and steadily rising global carbon emissions, the WRI Aqueduct tool can project changes in water stress. The tool projects 113 of our 286 sites will be located in areas of extremely high water risk by 2030. Historically, we have not experienced detrimental impacts from water such as scarcity or flooding leading to restrictions or shutdowns. Thus, we have not experienced substantive financial impacts associated with water. Avery Dennison conducted a physical risk scenario analysis to assess water risks including coastal flooding, fluvial flooding, and water stress. This analysis identified only ~2% of our portfolio asset value could be at risk from these combined water-related risks in the most extreme scenario through the 2030s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W4.2c) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary reason</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risks exist, but no substantive impact anticipated</td>
<td>Within our supply chain, the largest users of water are our paper and paperboard suppliers. Because we purchase raw materials globally, we are not dependent on one specific supplier or location, which mitigates water-related risk. Thus, we have not experienced substantive financial impacts associated with water. Furthermore, we are currently exploring EcoVadis’s KPI system to understand the baseline supplier engagement around water. Specifically to better understand measures to reduce water consumption, evidence of actions on water, and reporting on water consumption. Pending results from EcoVadis assessment, we will determine the next best steps applicable to relevant water risks to proceed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
(W4.3a) Provide details of opportunities currently being realized that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

**Type of opportunity**

Markets

**Primary water-related opportunity**

Stronger competitive advantage

**Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity**

This is a strategic opportunity for our business because companies that are transparent around their water usage and reduction actions are often given priority in the apparel sector and by consumers.

A significant portion of our apparel customers expect suppliers to support their sustainability and water goals. The large majority of the apparel customer base has set water targets, and our Solutions Group reports water usage at 20 sites for a number of customers through the Sustainable Apparel Coalition's Higg Index platform in order to help realize this opportunity. These sites monitor water usage, set site wide water usage goals, and develop action plans to improve water reduction strategies and, by extension, their Higg Scores. For example, our Solutions Group site in Vietnam recently installed water meters for each production area to more closely manage water usage, which enabled a 26% increase in water use efficiency at the site in 2022.

Additionally, our intelligent label products provide care instructions to consumers about sustainable washing practices helping Avery Dennison allow brands/customers to access relevant information on the use-phase of a garment’s care to reduce water usage. Currently, several brands have chosen to add a QR code on their care label to allow their customers to easily access the garment’s care and wash information. This is a strategic opportunity for us as an increasing number of customers are requesting information about water and other environmental impacts of products. These care and wash specifications make use of best practice wash practices to limit unnecessary usage of water during the consumer use phase of apparel goods.

An additional example from our Materials Group would be our AD RDX product. This innovative portfolio of paper and film solutions increases operational efficiency and reduces the consumption of natural resources, including water and trees, resulting in fewer CO2 emissions.

**Estimated timeframe for realization**

1 to 3 years

**Magnitude of potential financial impact**

Low

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

No, we do not have this figure

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Explanation of financial impact**

We are unable to currently validate the financial impacts, as it is difficult to isolate water from all the other factors that are utilized by a customer in making a purchasing decision.

---

**W6. Governance**

---

**W6.1**

(W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Yes, we have a documented water policy that is publicly available

---

**W6.1a**

---
(W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company-wide</td>
<td>Description of business dependency on water</td>
<td>Through our Water Policy, we acknowledge the strategic importance of water as a vital resource, recognize access to water and sanitation as human rights, in alignment with the UN, and acknowledge that ensuring the availability and quality of water is necessary to our businesses and supply chains, as well as the health of our communities. Similarly, through our Water Policy, we recognize the risks, opportunities, and impacts associated with water and stakeholder expectations related to water usage, efficiency, and conservation, as well as the evolving policy landscape. In particular, we acknowledge the connection between climate change and water risks, including through drought, variable weather cycles, and lack of access to fresh/clean water sources. Our Water Policy also outlines a number of our commitments, including implementing water management strategies across prioritized facilities that are categorized as Extremely High or High Water Risk level according to WRI’s Aqueduct analysis; annually conducting a current and future state water risk assessment using the WRI Aqueduct Tool; and continuous learning and improvement through innovation, partnerships, and sharing of best practices. Since the publication of our Water Policy, we have established a goal to, by 2030, deliver a 15% increase in water efficiency at our sites that are located in high or extremely high risk countries as identified in the WRI Aqueduct Tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>of business impact on water Commitment to align with international frameworks, standards, and widely-recognized water initiatives Commitment to reduce water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes in direct operations Commitment to reduce water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes in supply chain Commitment to safely managed Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in the workplace Commitment to stakeholder education and capacity building on water security Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action Commitments beyond regulatory compliance Reference to company water-related targets Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation Recognition of environmental linkages, for example, due to climate change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W6.2

(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?

Yes

W6.2a
(W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position or individual or committee</th>
<th>Responsibilities for water-related issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board-level committee</td>
<td>Board oversight over environmental sustainability is primarily conducted by the Governance Committee, which receives a report from management on sustainability topics at least once a year and other environmental matters. This includes reviewing with management the impact of business operations with respect to matters of environmental sustainability. The Committee is also responsible for reviewing the shareholder engagement process, results, and feedback with respect to environmental sustainability and recommendations to the Board, as appropriate. We reinvigorated our innovation program, including assessing and addressing risks related to investment in disruptive technologies. We continued to invest in innovation platforms focused on recyclability and enabling circularity and waste reduction and elimination. Our Circularty platform is investigating projects that increase material recyclability and the use of recycled content. Solutions that advance the circular economy support GHG emissions reductions across our value chain and enable the climate-related and sustainability goals of our value chain partners. Situation: We have seen an increased focus on sustainable packaging and changing market conditions and consumer preferences. Task: Our Board determined it was a strategic priority to ensure we are well-positioned to meet the increasing need and demand for more sustainable products. Action: In July and December 2020, our Board held strategy sessions focused on environmental sustainability and our innovation efforts. Result: In 2021, we released 2030 sustainability goals, which include delivering water efficiency improvements at high-risk sites. Our Sustainable ADvantage portfolio of products offer product options that reduce water use throughout their lifecycle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board’s oversight of water-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency that water-related issues are a scheduled agenda item</th>
<th>Governance mechanisms into which water-related issues are integrated</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled some meetings</td>
<td>Monitoring implementation and performance</td>
<td>The Governance Committee of our Board of Directors discusses environmental sustainability topics, which may include water-related issues, at committee meetings. The Committee also receives a report from management at least twice a year on sustainability progress. Our full Board engages with business leaders on their sustainability initiatives during its regular review of business strategies. This Board is responsible for overseeing our enterprise risk management (ERM) program. We have incorporated ERM into our business-unit level processes for developing and executing strategies, assessing risks, and identifying and implementing appropriate mitigating actions. Teams semiannually prepare a risk profile of a heat map and summary of key risks and mitigating strategies, which are used to prepare a company risk profile based on identified business-specific risks. Sustainability trends and environmental regulation are a standalone risk. We consider additional climate topics as amplifiers of existing risks. In the first five years working towards our 2025 sustainability goals, we made meaningful progress. We believed it was important to establish another set of ambitious targets. Our Sustainability Council and Company Leadership Team, including our Chairman/CEO, developed 2030 goals that are aligned with our business strategy and stakeholder priorities. We established our goal to, by 2030, deliver a 15% increase in water efficiency at our sites located in high or extremely high risk countries as identified in the WRI Aqueduct Tool.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W6.2d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board member(s) have competence on water-related issues</th>
<th>Criteria used to assess competence of board member(s) on water-related issues</th>
<th>Primary reason for no board-level competence on water-related issues</th>
<th>Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes 1</td>
<td>Our Chairman/CEO is engaged in our water-related initiatives and is considered competent on these issues. Further, we have a new Board member as of February 2023 who serves in a sustainability-focused executive role and brings that sustainability experience and industry network to our Board. We consistently consider other qualifications by which to measure Board competency on water-related issues.</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W6.3
Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

- Assessing water-related risks and opportunities
- Managing water-related risks and opportunities
- Setting water-related corporate targets
- Monitoring progress against water-related corporate targets

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

More frequently than quarterly

**Please explain**

As Chairman of the Board, our CEO provides strategic guidance to our sustainability program. The CEO approves major sustainability actions given their material impact on the company. Our CEO also provides direction to our president and COO, who leads sustainability for the company and is accountable for continued progress towards achieving our sustainability goals.

Our Sustainability Council (SC) is a cross-divisional group of sustainability leaders that drive accountability and accelerate our progress. The group, which met regularly during 2022, provides regular updates to the executive leadership team. Through this process, we complete a quarterly sustainability progress scorecard for the Board’s review. At least annually, SC members present sustainability trends and our sustainability strategic plan to our Company Leadership Team.

---

**W6.4**

**Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provide incentives for management of water-related issues</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**W6.4a**

**What incentives are provided to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals)?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role(s) entitled to incentive</th>
<th>Performance indicator</th>
<th>Contribution of incentives to the achievement of your organization’s water commitments</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monetary reward</td>
<td></td>
<td>In 2015, we established our 2025 sustainability goals to improve the sustainability of our products and processes and create value for all our stakeholders. In 2020, we developed 2030 goals that exemplify our strategy to lead in an environmentally responsible manner and leverage the capabilities of our company when we collaborate with our suppliers and customers. We developed our goals following the completion of our materiality assessment conducted in 2020. Our goals align with those topics that are determined to be the most important to our business and our stakeholders including GHG Emissions and Energy Use, Climate Resilience, Water Use, Materials Management, and Advancing the Circular Economy. The performance indicators of this incentive are specifically linked to making progress toward our water targets.</td>
<td>Our CEO’s compensation is determined by performance against annual strategic objectives. The Talent and Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors evaluates our CEO’s performance against the CEO’s predetermined strategic objectives. One of those strategic objectives is Innovation/Progress Toward Sustainability Goals. For 2022, all NEOs had an ESG objective as part of their annual goals, with their compensation assessed in evaluating their performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-monetary reward</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We are currently focused on driving performance and incentives around monetary rewards for meeting our targets. This is consistent with the philosophy of the Talent and Compensation Committee, which has established a pay-for-performance design for our executive officers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**W6.5**

Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?  

No

---

**W6.6**

Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?  

Yes (you may attach the report - this is optional)

---

**W7. Business strategy**
W7.1

(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are water-related issues integrated?</th>
<th>Long-term time horizon (years)</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term business objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, water-related issues are integrated</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>Water is of strategic importance to Avery Dennison and was evaluated in our materiality assessment. It was not deemed a short-term or current risk and thus has been included in long-term business objectives. For long-term business objectives we will be reviewing a program to collect withdrawal and discharge data at all internal sites as well as collect additional usage information from suppliers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy for achieving long-term objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, water-related issues are integrated</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>We have goals and processes in place to achieve our long-term objectives that integrate water-related issues. Our company-wide water target to deliver a 15% increase in water efficiency focuses first on sites located in high or extremely high risk countries, as identified in the WRI Aqueduct Tool. We also conduct water profiles at sites that are not located in high or extremely high risk countries to understand our overall water footprint. Additionally, we take water into account during the Pre-Startup Safety Review (PSSR) process for new processes and equipment. We are currently working to further embed water comprehensively into our operational strategy, processes, and environmental management system to collect withdrawal and discharge metrics, which will allow us to set future water targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, water-related issues were reviewed but not considered as strategically relevant/significant</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>We have assessed water-related issues and determined that they are not financially material. We actively manage and reduce our water usage, but this is not integrated into our financial planning. We will regularly review water-related issues and may include this in future financial discussions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W7.2

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Row 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)</th>
<th>-31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain

Avery Dennison’s water-related CAPEX decreased 31% from 2021 to 2022 but the number of projects increased by 50%. This is because many of our 2021 capital projects were specific to our recent acquisitions, such as improving wastewater infrastructure at an acquired facility, and were in addition to our water-related maintenance, improvement, and replacement capital projects. At this time, Avery Dennison is not able to determine the anticipated trend for the next reporting year.

W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of scenario analysis</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>In 2023, we completed a climate-related scenario analysis to show the physical and transition risk associated with the business. These results are still being reviewed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W7.3a

(W7.3a) Provide details of the scenario analysis, what water-related outcomes were identified, and how they have influenced your organization’s business strategy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of scenario analysis used</th>
<th>Parameters, assumptions, analytical choices</th>
<th>Description of possible water-related outcomes</th>
<th>Influence on business strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate-related</td>
<td>A qualitative and quantitative climate-related scenario analysis under 4 scenarios (RCP8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5, and RCP2.6) was done to show the physical and transition risk associated with its business. Physical risks of coastal flooding, fluvial flooding, drought, wildfire, water stress, and tropical cyclones were assessed through 2100. The physical risk assessment shows the potential level of loss on a site level basis of the top 300 assets of the company, including owned, leased, and third-party warehouses.</td>
<td>The water related risks evaluated included coastal flooding, fluvial flooding, and water stress. This analysis identified only ~2% of our portfolio asset value could be at risk from these combined water-related risks in the most extreme scenario through the 2030s.</td>
<td>This analysis has highlighted how our existing approach to our portfolio is serving to mitigate these risks, and has further highlighted the remaining asset locations we should evaluate given the risks they are subject to per the results of this scenario analysis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

Row 1

Does your company use an internal price on water?
No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years

Please explain
At this time, we have not implemented an internal price on water. We continue to evaluate best management practices across our material topics and implement them where appropriate.

W7.5

(W7.5) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products and/or services classified as low water impact</th>
<th>Definition used to classify low water impact</th>
<th>Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, but we plan to address this within the next two years</td>
<td>Important but not an immediate business priority</td>
<td>We do not currently classify any of our products as low water impact. As we mature our processes and assessments, we have the opportunity to evaluate our products and services to determine which have low water impacts. We are working on having comprehensive life cycles for our products and the outcomes of these will support our developing a low water plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W8. Targets

W8.1

(W8.1) Do you have any water-related targets?
Yes

(W8.1a) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target set in this category</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water pollution</td>
<td>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years Avery Dennison's water strategy is primarily focused on water consumption and therefore does not include a water pollution target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water withdrawals</td>
<td>Yes &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services</td>
<td>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years Avery Dennison's water strategy is primarily focused on water consumption and therefore does not include a WASH target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>No, and we do not plan to within the next two years Avery Dennison's water strategy is primarily focused on water consumption and therefore does not include any other target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(W8.1b) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

**Target reference number**
Target 1

**Category of target**
Water withdrawals

**Target coverage**
Company-wide (direct operations only)

**Quantitative metric**
Reduction in total water withdrawals

**Year target was set**
2020

**Base year**
2021

**Base year figure**
0

**Target year**
2030

**Target year figure**
15

**Reporting year figure**
12

**% of target achieved relative to base year**
80

**Target status in reporting year**
Underway

**Please explain**

Our water target is to, by 2030, deliver a 15% increase in water efficiency at our sites that are located in high or extremely high risk countries as identified in the WRI Aqueduct Tool. This target reflects our priority to address water use at sites with the highest risk and properly manage our water risks. The target applies companywide to any site located in a country deemed to be high or extremely high risk in the WRI Aqueduct Tool. We measure and evaluate the water efficiency at our sites based on water withdrawals, with the target measured by the % reduction in total water withdrawals.

The target was established in 2020 and we are currently implementing processes to measure site-level water usage and bills and maintain water meters to help us achieve a 15% increase in water efficiency by 2030. We are still tracking and collecting data and will report our progress in calendar year 2023. We are also developing project-based targets in 2022.

---

W9. Verification

W9.1

(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?

No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

W10. Plastics

W10.1

(W10.1) Have you mapped where in your value chain plastics are used and/or produced?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plastics mapping</th>
<th>Value chain stage</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please select</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W10.2

(W10.2) Across your value chain, have you assessed the potential environmental and human health impacts of your use and/or production of plastics?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact assessment</th>
<th>Value chain stage</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please select</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
W10.3

(W10.3) Across your value chain, are you exposed to plastics-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? If so, provide details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk exposure</th>
<th>Value chain stage</th>
<th>Type of risk</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Please select</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W10.4

(W10.4) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets in place</th>
<th>Target type</th>
<th>Target metric</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Row 1 Yes        | Plastic goods | Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic goods
|                  |             | Increase the proportion of renewable content from responsibly managed sources in plastic goods | Materials Group: 100% of standard label products will contain recycled or renewable content; all of our regions will have labels that enable circularity of plastics |

W10.5

(W10.5) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity applies</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production of plastic polymers</td>
<td>Please select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of durable plastic components</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production / commercialization of durable plastic goods (including mixed materials)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production / commercialization of plastic packaging</td>
<td>Please select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of goods packaged in plastics</td>
<td>Please select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision / commercialization of services or goods that use plastic packaging (e.g., retail and food services)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W10.7

(W10.7) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods/components sold and indicate the raw material content.

Row 1

Total weight of plastic durable goods/components sold during the reporting year (Metric tonnes)

Raw material content percentages available to report

% virgin fossil-based content <Not Applicable>

% virgin renewable content <Not Applicable>

% post-industrial recycled content <Not Applicable>

% post-consumer recycled content <Not Applicable>

Please explain
(W10.8) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plastic packaging sold</th>
<th>Raw material content percentages available to report</th>
<th>% virgin fossil-based content</th>
<th>% virgin renewable content</th>
<th>% post-industrial recycled content</th>
<th>% post-consumer recycled content</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total weight of plastic packaging sold / used during the reporting year (Metric tonnes)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W10.8a) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentages available to report for circularity potential</th>
<th>% of plastic packaging that is reusable</th>
<th>% of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable</th>
<th>% of plastic packaging that is recyclable in practice at scale</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plastic packaging sold</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W11. Sign off

W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization’s response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

W11.1

(W11.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job title</th>
<th>Corresponding job category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>President and Chief Operating Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SW. Supply chain module

SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SW1.1

(SW1.1) Could any of your facilities reported in W5.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1 Please select</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SW2.1
(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?
No

SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?
English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please select your submission options</th>
<th>I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders</th>
<th>Response permission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.
No

Please confirm below
I have read and accept the applicable Terms