Avery Dennison Corporation - Water 2018



W0. Introduction

W0.1

(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

Avery Dennison helps make brands more inspiring and the world more intelligent as a global leader in pressure-sensitive technology and materials and retail branding and information solutions. Our businesses include the production of pressure-sensitive materials and a variety of tickets, tags, labels and other converted products. Some pressure-sensitive materials are sold to label printers and converters that convert the materials into labels and other products through embossing, printing, stamping and diecutting. Some materials are sold by us in converted form as tapes and reflective sheeting. We also manufacture and sell a variety of other converted products and items not involving pressure-sensitive components, such as fasteners, tickets, tags, radio-frequency identification ("RFID") inlays and tags, and imprinting equipment and related services, which we market to retailers, apparel manufacturers, and brand owners. Our reportable segments for fiscal year 2017 were (i) Label and Graphic Materials ("LGM"); (ii) Retail Branding and Information Solutions ("RBIS"); and (iii) Industrial and Healthcare Materials ("IHM"). In 2017, the LGM, RBIS, and IHM segments made up approximately 68%, 23% and 9%, respectively, of our total sales. In 2017, international operations constituted a substantial majority of our business, representing approximately 76% of our sales. As of December 30, 2017, we operated approximately 180 manufacturing and distribution facilities worldwide with approximately 30,000 employees and had operations in over 50 countries, with sales from continuing operations of \$6.6 billion.

W-CH0.1a

(W-CH0.1a) Which activities in the chemical sector does your organization engage in?

Specialty organic chemicals
Specialty inorganic chemicals

W0.2

(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date
Reporting year	January 1 2017	December 31 2017

W0.3

CDP Page 1 of 12

(W0.3) Select the countries/regions for which you will be supplying data.

Argentina

Australia

Bangladesh

Belgium

Brazil

Bulgaria

Canada

Chile

China

China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Colombia

Czechia

Denmark

Dominican Republic

Egypt

El Salvador

Li Odiv

France

Germany

Honduras India

Indonesia

Ireland

Italy

Japan

Luxembourg

Malaysia

Mexico

Morocco

Netherlands

New Zealand

Norway

Pakistan

Peru Poland

Portugal

Republic of Korea

Romania

Singapore South Africa

Spain

Sri Lanka

Switzerland

Taiwan (Province of China)

Turkey

United Arab Emirates

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

United States of America

Viet Nam

W0.4

(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD

W0.5

(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?

No

W1. Current state

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

	Direct use importance rating	Indirect use importance rating	Please explain
Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use	Not very important	Important	Avery Dennison does not use significant volumes of good quality freshwater in production activities, therefore water is described as not very important for direct operations. Suppliers that provide paper use a considerable amount water. As such, water availability may impact paper commodity costs in the future.
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use	Not important at all	Neutral	Avery Dennison does not use recycled or brackish water and are designating these as not important to direct operations. Our paper suppliers may use recycled water, therefore water quality/quantity for indirect use may be neutral.

W-CH1.3

(W-CH1.3) Do you calculate water intensity for your activities in the chemical sector?

No, and we have no plans to do so in the next two years

W1.4

(W1.4) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?

No, not currently but we intend to within two years

W1.4d

(W1.4d) Why do you not engage with any stages of your value chain on water-related issues and what are your plans?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row	We are planning to do so	Our core business operations are not heavily dependent on water, whereas our paper suppliers view this as much more of a priority and concern. Over the next 24-36 months, we
1	within the next two years	anticipate evaluating paper suppliers that may be operating in water stress regions to determine if those suppliers have water management strategies.

W2. Business impacts

W2.1

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?

No

W2.2

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

W3. Procedures

W-CH3.1

(W-CH3.1) How does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities in the chemical sector that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

W-CH3.1a

(W-CH3.1a) Describe how your organization minimizes adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health. Report up to ten potential pollutants associated with your activities in the chemical sector.

Potentia water	Value chain		Management procedures	Please explain
pollutan	stage			
Organic solvents	operations	A portion of our manufacturing process for self-adhesive materials utilizes organic solvents, which, unless controlled, could be emitted into the atmosphere or contaminate soil or groundwater. Emissions from these operations contain small amounts of volatile organic compounds, which are regulated by federal, state, local and foreign governments. We continue to evaluate the use of alternative materials and technologies to minimize these emissions. In connection with the maintenance and acquisition of certain manufacturing equipment, we invest in solvent capture and control units to assist in regulating these emissions.	Compliance with effluent quality standards R&D into less harmful alternative products	

W3.3

(W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?

Yes, water-related risks are assessed

W3.3a

CDP Page 4 of 12

(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

Direct operations

Coverage

Entl

Risk assessment procedure

Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

Frequency of assessment

Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?

Up to 1 year

Type of tools and methods used

Tools on the market

Tools and methods used

WRI Aqueduct

Comment

In 2010, after tracking our water consumption to determine whether we should develop a water-reduction strategy, we concluded that we use relatively little water in our direct operations. We continue to track our water consumption and look for ways to use water more efficiently in our products and processes, but we have not made water conservation one of our environmental priorities. We conduct a standard water risk assessment with the WRI Aqueduct to determine at-risk sites based on basin/geo.

Supply chain

Coverage

None

Risk assessment procedure

<Not Applicable>

Frequency of assessment

<Not Applicable>

How far into the future are risks considered?

<Not Applicable>

Type of tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Comment

Other stages of the value chain

Coverage

None

Risk assessment procedure

<Not Applicable>

Frequency of assessment

<Not Applicable>

How far into the future are risks considered?

<Not Applicable>

Type of tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Comment

W3.3b

(W3.3b) Which of the following contextual issues are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?

	Relevance & inclusion	
Water availability at a basin/catchment level	Not considered	
Water quality at a basin/catchment level	Not considered	
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level	Not considered	
Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials	Relevant, not included	Future changes in water availability in relation to our key commodities and raw materials may have impacts on Avery Dennison's direct operations as well as our supply chain. We anticipate taking steps to include assessments of these future implications within our water risk assessments.
Water-related regulatory frameworks	Not considered	
Status of ecosystems and habitats	Not considered	
Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees	Not considered	
Other contextual issues, please specify	Not considered	

W3.3c

(W3.3c) Which of the following stakeholders are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?

		let the second s
	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Customers	Not	
Customers	considered	
Employees	Not considered	
Investors	Not considered	
Local communities	Not considered	
NGOs	Not considered	
Other water users at a basin/catchment level	Not considered	
Regulators	Not considered	
River basin management authorities	Not considered	
Statutory special interest groups at a local level	Not considered	
Suppliers	Relevant, not included	Avery Dennison's will be evaluating paper suppliers that may be operating in water stress regions and determining if those suppliers have water management strategies. We may consider our top 10-20 suppliers based on total spend, and we aspire to implement this effort in the next 24-36 months.
Water utilities at a local level	Not considered	
Other stakeholder, please specify	Not considered	

W3.3d

(W3.3d) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.

Avery Dennison's sustainability leadership team is responsible for keeping abreast of market developments related to water usage and water risks. Avery Dennison acknowledges that both the availability and the costs of water, however small, are necessary to our business and growth strategy and can have a direct impact on our operational costs. Therefore, we've not only leveraged our internal teams to continue to assess water risks that could impact our business, but have expanded these efforts to include the WRI Aqueduct tool which takes into account current conditions as well as future risks and impacts to our business. The methods that we've used to mitigate water risks take into account all of our facilities.

W4. Risks and opportunities

W4.1

Yes, only in our value chain beyond our direct operations

W4.1a

(W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Avery Dennison defines substantive change as impacts on revenue, stakeholders and costs as well as availability of purchased goods. Indicators we recognize as having potential substantive changes include 5% revenue, concerns expressed by key stakeholders, and cost increases as much as 5% (or significant risk of material availability). Any one of these elements or a combination thereof would be basis for evaluating mitigating measures. These elements impact supply chain.

W4.1b

(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion of your company-wide facilities does this represent?

	Total number of facilities exposed to water risk	% company-wide facilities this represents	Comment
Row 1		Please select	

W4.1c

(W4.1c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities?

Country/Region

Please select

River basin

Please select

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

% company-wide facilities this represents

Please select

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Please select

Comment

W4.2a

(W4.2a) Provide details of risks identified within your value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

W4.2b

(W4.2b) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row 1	Evaluation in progress	

W4.3

(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

No

CDP

W4.3b

(W4.3b) Why does your organization not consider itself to have water-related opportunities?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row	Opportunities exist, but none	Avery Dennison recognizes the opportunity to reduce water use and realize modest cost savings, however, as our operations are not water intensive, these savings are
1	with potential to have a	unlikely to be substantive. By substantive we mean reducing costs by more than 5%. Our assessment also considered whether water presented an opportunity for our
	substantive financial or	customers in use of our products and determined there was not a substantive opportunity. Our products do, however, present a variety of important opportunities for our
	strategic impact on business	customers in other sustainability areas such as waste or energy reduction.

W6. Governance

W6.1

(W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Nο

W6.2

(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?

No

W6.2c

(W6.2c) Why is there no board-level oversight of water-related issues and what are your plans to change this in the future?

		Board level oversight of water-related issues will be introduced in the next two years	Please explain
R	Not deemed	No	Given the immaterial nature of water-related issues to Avery Dennison, we currently don't have an expectation to include
1	relevant		board-level oversight for water-related issues.

W6.3

(W6.3) Below board level, provide the highest-level management position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

President

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

Annually

Please explain

The Vice President of Environmental, Health & Safety (EH&S) is responsible for water-related issues and communicates them to our executive team.

W-FB6.4/W-CH6.4/W-EU6.4/W-OG6.4/W-MM6.4

(W-FB6.4/W-CH6.4/W-EU6.4/W-OG6.4/W-MM6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues? No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

W6.5

(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?

No

W7.1

(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain
Long-term business objectives	No, water-related issues were not reviewed and there are no plans to do so	<not applicable=""></not>	
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	No, water-related issues were not reviewed and there are no plans to do so	<not applicable=""></not>	
Financial planning	No, water-related issues were not reviewed and there are no plans to do so	<not applicable=""></not>	

W7.2

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

	Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)	Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)	Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)	Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)	Please explain
Row 1					

W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?

		Use of climate- related scenario analysis	Comment
R 1	low		Our approach is based on The 3% Solution developed by World Wildlife Fund, CDP and McKinsey & Company. Because our facilities require different solutions based on their design and location, we're pursuing reductions through a variety of means, such as improving energy efficiency, sourcing renewable power and procuring renewable energy certificates.

W7.3a

(W7.3a) Has your organization identified any water-related outcomes from your climate-related scenario analysis?

No

W7.4

(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

Row 1

Does your company use an internal price on water?

No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years

Please explain

W8. Targets

W8.1

(W8.1) Describe your approach to setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.

	Levels for targets and/or goals	Monitoring at corporate level	Approach to setting and monitoring targets and/or goals
Row 1	Our company sets no targets or goals	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

W8.1c

(W8.1c) Why do you not have water target(s) or goal(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row	Judged to be	Based on completed water-risk assessments and the level of significance water plays in our operations, targets or goals have not been deemed to be important. We will continue to
1	unimportant, explanation	annually evaluate water risk with WRI Aqueduct, and conduct water assessments internally and with suppliers in the coming 24-36 months.
	provided	

W9. Linkages and trade-offs

W9.1

(W9.1) Has your organization identified any linkages or tradeoffs between water and other environmental issues in its direct operations and/or other parts of its value chain?

No

W9.1b

(W9.1b) Why has your organization not identified any linkages or tradeoffs between water and other environmental issues?

		Primary reason	Please explain
F	Row 1	Not considered, and we have no plans to do so	

W10. Verification

W10.1

(W10.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1d)?

No, we do not currently verify any other water information reported in our CDP disclosure $\,$

W11. Sign off

W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

W11.1

(W11.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Director of Sustainability	Environment/Sustainability manager

W11.2

(W11.2) Please indicate whether your organization agrees for CDP to transfer your publicly disclosed data on your impact and risk response strategies to the CEO Water Mandate's Water Action Hub [applies only to W2.1a (response to impacts), W4.2 and W4.2a (response to risks)].

No

CDP

SW. Supply chain module

SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

	Annual revenue
Row 1	6613800000

SW0.2

(SW0.2) Do you have an ISIN for your organization that you are willing to share with CDP?

Yes

SW0.2a

(SW0.2a) Please share your ISIN in the table below.

	ISIN country code	ISIN numeric identifier (including single check digit)
Row 1	US	0536111091

SW1.1

(SW1.1) Have you identified if any of your facilities reported in W5.1 could have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

No, we do not have this data and have no intentions to collect it

SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your site facilities not already reported in W5.1?

No, this is confidential data

SW2.1

(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?

No

SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services across its operations.

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	Public or Non-Public Submission	I am submitting to	Are you ready to submit the additional Supply Chain Questions?
I am submitting my response	Public	Investors	Yes, submit Supply Chain Questions now
		Customers	

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms

CDP Page 12 of 12